



## CfP/UNOPS/Myanmar/2019/1/Conflict Sensitivity

Questions arising from UNOPS's Call for Proposals for the LIFT Fund and the ACCESS Fund: Support to Strengthen Conflict-sensitivity

The deadline for written questions is 07 February, 2019.

Answers to questions received as of 03 February, 2019 are compiled and released below on 05 February, 2019.

	Q1a. According to the list of illustrative outputs and timelines on page 7 of the CfP, all capacity building activities with IPs are due to take place within the first year of the grant. Is that a rigid requirement or just a suggestion?
	A1a. This is a suggestion.
1	Q1b. If it is a rigid requirement, then it is likely to mean that most of the most cost- and labour intensive activities will take place in the first year, while the second year is characterised by light-touch follow up activities. Would it in that case be permissible to submit budgets that were greater for year 1 and reduced in year 2?
	A1b. See above. However, it is also possible to submit budgets which differ in amount for each year.
2	Q2. Given that the capacity building needs of LIFT IPs would appear to be greater than ACCESS IPs (due to the previous engagement of RAFT with the 3MDG IPs mentioned on page 7 of the CfP), would it be permissible to submit a budget for LIFT that was greater than 250,000 USD and a budget for A2H that was less that 250,000 so long as the total was less than 500,000 USD?
	A2. Submissions must be limited to the budget constraints set out for each individual Fund.
3	Q3. Regarding the output "Workshops and training with IPs on conflict-sensitivity", which costs related to these activities are expected to be born by the IPs themselves? I.e. does the budget need to include costs related to participant travel, accommodation and per diem, or is there an expectation that any of these costs would be born by the IPs who are participating in the activity?
3	A3. Costs of organizing and facilitating the relevant workshops and trainings (venue, materials, etc.) must be included in the proposal. Costs of participation in the workshops and trainings (travel, etc.) is expected to be borne by the participating IP. Where necessary, the Fund Manager will liaise with the IP on these costs.
4	Q4a. Would it be permissible for the FMO for participants from A2H IPs and LIFT IPs to take part in the same workshops and other events? If so, would there be an assumption on the FMO's part that these workshops should double the number of participants from the events that RAFT organised in the last grant with 3MDG (average 25-30 participants), or would the number be similar?





A4a. Yes, that would be permissible. Numbers of attendees to workshops should be appropriate to ensure high levels of engagement and learning.  Q4b. Similarly, is there an assumption that the number of activities (workshops etc.) should be double the number of participants from the events that RAFT organised in the last grant with 3MDG due to the fact that the technical support is now being provided to two funds?  A4b. With more participants, applicants need to make relevant adjustments to two variables - number of participants and number of trainings  Q5a. Would all the activities related to the "Capacity building/ training of FMO team" output (Phase I, page 7) take place in Yangon?  A5a. Yes  Q5b. What percentage of activities (in terms of days/events) would be spent on "Capacity building/ training of FMO team" compared to "Workshops and training with IPs" (Phase I, page 7)? Our assumption is that it would be approximately 10% Yangon based activities with the FMO and 90% field based activities with IPs, Is this correct?  A5b. This is up to the applicant/proposal.  Q6. Does point 4.7 on page 5 of the CfP, require a specific, discrete stream of activities that include only those IPs who are directly impacted by the conditions imposed by the NCA and other ceasefires, or is it just one of the topics that should be included in activities and events that include a broader range of IPs?  A6. The latter
double the number of participants from the events that RAFT organised in the last grant with 3MDG due to the fact that the technical support is now being provided to two funds?  A4b. With more participants, applicants need to make relevant adjustments to two variables - number of participants and number of trainings  Q5a. Would all the activities related to the "Capacity building/ training of FMO team" output (Phase I, page 7) take place in Yangon?  A5a. Yes  Q5b. What percentage of activities (in terms of days/events) would be spent on "Capacity building/ training of FMO team" compared to "Workshops and training with IPs" (Phase I, page 7)? Our assumption is that it would be approximately 10% Yangon based activities with the FMO and 90% field based activities with IPs, Is this correct?  A5b. This is up to the applicant/proposal.  Q6. Does point 4.7 on page 5 of the CfP, require a specific, discrete stream of activities that include only those IPs who are directly impacted by the conditions imposed by the NCA and other ceasefires, or is it just one of the topics that should be included in activities and events that include a broader range of IPs?  A6. The latter
of participants and number of trainings  Q5a. Would all the activities related to the "Capacity building/ training of FMO team" output (Phase I, page 7) take place in Yangon?  A5a. Yes  Q5b. What percentage of activities (in terms of days/events) would be spent on "Capacity building/ training of FMO team" compared to "Workshops and training with IPs" (Phase I, page 7)? Our assumption is that it would be approximately 10% Yangon based activities with the FMO and 90% field based activities with IPs, Is this correct?  A5b. This is up to the applicant/proposal.  Q6. Does point 4.7 on page 5 of the CfP, require a specific, discrete stream of activities that include only those IPs who are directly impacted by the conditions imposed by the NCA and other ceasefires, or is it just one of the topics that should be included in activities and events that include a broader range of IPs?  A6. The latter
page 7) take place in Yangon?  A5a. Yes  Q5b. What percentage of activities (in terms of days/events) would be spent on "Capacity building/ training of FMO team" compared to "Workshops and training with IPs" (Phase I, page 7)? Our assumption is that it would be approximately 10% Yangon based activities with the FMO and 90% field based activities with IPs, Is this correct?  A5b. This is up to the applicant/proposal.  Q6. Does point 4.7 on page 5 of the CfP, require a specific, discrete stream of activities that include only those IPs who are directly impacted by the conditions imposed by the NCA and other ceasefires, or is it just one of the topics that should be included in activities and events that include a broader range of IPs?  A6. The latter
Q5b. What percentage of activities (in terms of days/events) would be spent on "Capacity building/ training of FMO team" compared to "Workshops and training with IPs" (Phase I, page 7)? Our assumption is that it would be approximately 10% Yangon based activities with the FMO and 90% field based activities with IPs, Is this correct?  A5b. This is up to the applicant/proposal.  Q6. Does point 4.7 on page 5 of the CfP, require a specific, discrete stream of activities that include only those IPs who are directly impacted by the conditions imposed by the NCA and other ceasefires, or is it just one of the topics that should be included in activities and events that include a broader range of IPs?  A6. The latter
training of FMO team" compared to "Workshops and training with IPs" (Phase I, page 7)? Our assumption is that it would be approximately 10% Yangon based activities with the FMO and 90% field based activities with IPs, Is this correct?  A5b. This is up to the applicant/proposal.  Q6. Does point 4.7 on page 5 of the CfP, require a specific, discrete stream of activities that include only those IPs who are directly impacted by the conditions imposed by the NCA and other ceasefires, or is it just one of the topics that should be included in activities and events that include a broader range of IPs?  A6. The latter
Q6. Does point 4.7 on page 5 of the CfP, require a specific, discrete stream of activities that include only those IPs who are directly impacted by the conditions imposed by the NCA and other ceasefires, or is it just one of the topics that should be included in activities and events that include a broader range of IPs?  A6. The latter
only those IPs who are directly impacted by the conditions imposed by the NCA and other ceasefires, or is it just one of the topics that should be included in activities and events that include a broader range of IPs?  A6. The latter
Q7. Should the approach and theory of change underlying the proposed activities assume that no
further activities will take place after the 24th month of the grant, or is there an intention for conflict sensitivity technical support to be provided to the Funds' IPs after that point?
A7. Further activities may take place, depending on the outcome of this 2 year project.
Q8. Are ethnic health organizations eligible to apply under this proposal?
A8. Yes, ethnic health organizations are eligible to apply under this proposal.
Q9. Regarding the budget template for the access to health fund , the template cannot be downloaded from the PDF file.
A9. Please find the link to the file here: Access to Health Fund Budget Template





Q10. If our Head Office is [outside Myanmar], can we request the budget by US dollars (the implementing areas will be in Myanmar side)?

10

A10. Yes - the head office of an organization can be outside Myanmar, however all organizations must be able to legally operate within Myanmar. All budgets must be received in US dollars.